UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,695	08/02/2002	Niels Rump	SCHO0113	3855
22862 GLENN PATEI	7590 02/24/200 NT GROUP	EXAM	IINER	
3475 EDISON	WAY, SUITE L	HENNING, MATTHEW T		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
RUMP ET AL. Art Unit
2431

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for	Review filed 12 January 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is imprope reason(s): 	r and a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed con ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: . 	a review is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to ru the mail date of the last Office communication, if no	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.				
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required be	en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) Matthew Henning.	(3) <u>Kimyen Vu</u> .			
(2) <u>Chris Revak</u> .	(4)			
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435				